## Appendix C: Notes of public meetings held on 28th August 2014.

Public meetings were held on the 28<sup>th</sup> August between 11am and 9pm in the Life Point Centre on Ffynone Road to give residents and stakeholders the opportunity to view the draft Conservation Area Review information and to discuss the proposals with Council Officers. Presentations were held at 2pm and 7pm which were followed by group discussions regarding the proposals. At all other times there were more informal-drop-in sessions.

The text below records the comments made by attendees on flip charts at the formal group discussions at 2pm and 7pm

	Positive aspects about the Ffynone Conservation Area	Negative aspects about the Ffynone Conservation Area	Issues/Pressures on the Ffynone Conservation Area	Potential improvements to the Ffynone Conservation Area	Comments on the proposed boundary Review	Comments on the proposed Character Areas	Comments on potential increased Planning Controls
Group 1 (2pm)	Good mix of nice architecture,     green space,     trees.     Layout of area,     good 'feel' mixed — different kinds of people.     The monthly market.     Mix of commerce — café/restaura nts, music.     Lots of possible places to walk	Litter,     poorly     maintained     properties	Power but no money to eg. Preserve RAFA building, Ffynone Nursing Home     Fountain . St James Gardens – lack of maintenance.     Untidy lanes, walls (breeze block walls)     Council need to work with communities	<ul> <li>Provision of information</li> <li>Working together to Clear litter (Council and Community)</li> <li>Community notice boards</li> <li>Traffic – Uplands Terrace</li> </ul>	Boundary review supported	• Well considered	Selected pragmatic points to consider on buildings, terraces

Group 2 (2pm)	<ul> <li>diverse architectural style</li> <li>variety and quality of styles</li> <li>green open spaces</li> <li>streetscape/vistas/views</li> <li>individual architectural detail – Italianate/dutch</li> <li>area 8 – arts and crafts</li> <li>area 6 – bay windows</li> <li>commercial area – protect the few existing shop fronts</li> </ul>	the number of incongruous changes to buildings eg. Mozarts/28 Uplands Crescent. lack of care, broken seating/broken glass/litter/ failure of landlords, lack of investment	Lack of council investment in areas such as The Grove/St James Park.     Previous planning and lack of enforcement     RAFA Club	<ul> <li>Training officers</li> <li>Public realm – prioritise</li> <li>Place Article 4 – permitted development</li> </ul>	• Agree		Tighter planning controls     Generally we agree if it can be implemented
Group 1 (7pm)	<ul> <li>Greenery and spaces</li> <li>Parks (in day)</li> <li>Grade II listed buildings</li> </ul>	Uplands     Crescent	<ul> <li>Issues like parking (but not specific to area)</li> <li>Derelict buildings</li> <li>Wider social issues eg. Drugs, burglary</li> <li>Reality of the area is reuse</li> </ul>	Would like     ECO friendly     improvements     Bike friendly     improvements	<ul> <li>Not in favour in expansion of Conservation Area</li> <li>Restrictions on changes may increase cost</li> <li>What about other areas also of historic interest - interest. Gwydr</li> </ul>	Query over definition of smaller areas	Ok with Grade II listed buildings and green areas     Concerns over restrictions on other private houses     Parking is a Conundrum

	of large properties not favoured by families for student and rental homes • Pavements/ Roads	Crescent, King Edwards Road  Don't mind existing boundary
--	--	---